IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.828 OF 2005

Shri Yellusa Ambassa Kathare — Appellant
vs.
The Brihan Mumbai Mahanagarpalika — Respondent

WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.829 OF 2005

Shri Dhanji K. Puria — Appellant
vs.
The Brihan Mumbai Mahanagarpalika — Respondent

WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.832 OF 2005

Smt.Tulsi Kanojia — Appellant
vs.
The Brihan Mumbai Mahanagarpalika — Respondent

WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.833 OF 2005

Shri Ramrathi M. Gupta — Appellant
vs.
The Brihan Mumbai Mahanagarpalika — Respondent

WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.845 OF 2005

Shri Ashok Kumar Jain — Appellant
vs.
The Brihanmumbai Mahanagarpalika — Respondent

AND WITH
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.846 OF 2005

Ms.Reeta Victor Saldhana — Appellant
vs.
The Brihanmumbai Mahanagarpalika — Respondent

Mr.D.K.Mishra for the appellant in all appeals.

Ms.Geeta Joglekar for the B.M.C. in all appeals.

CORAM : B.H. MARLAPALLE,J.

DATED : 29th August 2005

P.C.

These appeals impugn an order passed by the City Civil Court, Greater Mumbai directing registration of notice of motion for temporary injunction and at the same time refusing the prayer for ad-interim stay. The learned counsel for the appellants now states that the subject premises have already been demolished by the respondent-Municipal Corporation and, therefore, nothing further survives in these appeals challenging the order of ad-interim relief.

2. These appeals are therefore disposed off as infructuous. However, the hearing in the pending notices of motion is expedited and they be decided on their merits preferably by 30th November 2005.

(B.H. MARLAPALLE,J.)